## Fifty-Fifth Annual Report to Secondary Schools

## A Note from the Dean on the Class of 2005

Bill Wilson, the legendary Dean of Admission at Amherst from 1946 until 1972, and for whom the Office of Admission here is named, began over half a century ago to report to the secondary school community on the composition of classes entering the College each fall. By presenting comprehensive and detailed data on each entering class, Bill hoped to allow secondary school college counselors and guidance officers to make reasonable inferences about individual students' places in the Amherst applicant pool. Because the sheer number of secondary schools represented in our applicant pool and class (there are 330 different secondary schools represented in the Class of 2005 alone!) has grown virtually exponentially over the last 50 years, we now distribute this report more broadly and make it available to all of our visitors. I am proud to have inherited this tradition from Bill and his successors and pleased to pen this, the Fifty-Fifth Annual Report to Secondary Schools. I do hope you find it helpful in your college planning.

We received 5,175 applications for the Class of 2005, the third largest number in the College's history. While the popular press has focused on the growth in applications to the nation's most competitive colleges and universities, an equally important phenomenon has gone largely unreported, and that is that the applicant pools are not only considerably larger but also considerably stronger. This phenomenon owes itself largely to self-selection among students applying to Amherst and similar institutions. I would estimate that fully $80 \%$ of our applicants could not only do the work here, but do the work exceedingly well. The process of selecting a class of 430, therefore, is a humbling and daunting one, indeed. There are evenings in February when I have to work quite hard at repressing my own high school credentials! Daunting and humbling as it is, however, I continue to be heartened and impressed by the care, sensitivity,
and sense of mission that my colleagues here bring to this task.

Last year, slightly more women than men entered Amherst. This year, we have experienced the opposite, with 225 men and 205 women. Fully $34 \%$ of the class are students of color, and an additional $4 \%$ are nonU.S. citizens. California has replaced Massachusetts as the second largest state in the entering class. Californians now account for $14 \%$ of the class. That should make our first snowfall interesting!

Equally arresting to me are the academic credentials of the entering class. Because average SAT scores, rightly or wrongly, tend to be the lingua franca of academic quality, or the closest thing we have to a common standard or means of comparison, I will quickly observe that the average composite SAT score for the Class of 2005 is 1402 . I urge you, however, to dig more deeply into the table, which exhibits the range of SAT scores for applicants, admits, and matriculants. I hope those data reassure you that the admission process here is far from simplistic or crudely formulaic.

To my way of thinking, what lies behind, or perhaps accounts for, such statistics is more important. What has struck me thus far in my tenure are the habits of mind of Amherst students: They are curious, comfortable and even joyous in the world of ideas, and deeply committed to the values of a diverse community. Fascinating to me also is the degree to which they are deeply interested in the admission process itself - an interest that I suspect grows out of their knowledge that the content and context of their education in a small community such as ours grows as much out of myriad interactions with fellow students as out of more formal classroom instruction.

While there is much to celebrate in these data, I must say that I continue to be disheartened by several trends in the profession. Because a growing number of the nation's most competitive and prestigious colleges
and universities are taking close to or more than half of their class early, I see enormous pressure being exerted on young people to wrap up the college search process prematurely, and with the pressure has come a concomitant tendency to strategize rather than search. I find the narrow and shallow institutional self-interest that is driving this trend genuinely galling. So what does Amherst do? We simply pledge to take no more than $30 \%$ of our class early. I was pleased to learn that Stanford, too, has chosen to swim against the tide, and I applaud their position of taking no more than $25 \%$ of their class early. I am disturbed also by an increasing tendency to "leverage" financial aid or to use it as a part of a tuition income maximization model of greater or lesser sophistication. To see leveraging replacing a focus on access in the distribution of financial aid is certainly disheartening. Again, what is Amherst's stance? We continue to practice needblind admission and to meet the full need of all admitted students. In addition, for the last two years, we have eliminated the loan component of financial packages for low-income students and significantly reduced it for middle income students. Of course, it is far easier for a school with Amherst's considerable
means to take the high road in awarding aid, but I do believe access has been one of Amherst's core values (if not the core value) since its founding as a college for "indigent and pious" young men. You will have to make up your own minds as to our piety!

I hope that I or a member of my staff will have the opportunity to meet you or to renew conversations this year. To that end, allow me to introduce this year's staff: Director of Admission/Senior Associate Dean
Katie Fretwell; Senior Associate Director/Associate Dean K athy M ayberry; Associate Deans Michael Hawkins, C ate Zolkos, and Joy St. John; Assistant Dean Meg Caddeau; Admission Fellows Ruza Afram, Katie Famous, and Chris Kuipers.

Finally, let me thank you for all past and future kindnesses. Should your travel bring you to Amherst, please do not hesitate to visit with us.


Tom Parker
Dean of Admission and Financial Aid
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## First-Year Students in the Class of 2005

## A Snapshot

| Student Background Profile | Student Ac ademic Profile |
| :--- | :---: |
| $52 \%$ are men; $48 \%$ women | $83 \%$ finished in the top $10 \%$ of their class |
| $44 \%$ are receiving scholarship and grant aid | (of those with reported rank) |
| $34 \%$ indicated they are students of color | $18 \%$ are valedictorians |
| (148 students) | (of those with reported rank) |
| $12 \%$ Asian-American ( 51 students) | $35 \%$ plan to pursue science or math |
| $10 \%$ African-American ( 41 students) | $23 \%$ plan to pursue humanities |
| $8 \%$ Latino/a ( 36 students) | $26 \%$ plan to pursue social sciences |
| $5 \%$ multi-ethnic (20 students) | $6 \%$ plan to pursue arts or foreign language |
| $14 \%$ are first-generation college students | $30 \%$ of the class were admitted through |
| $10 \%$ are children of alumni | Early Decision |
| $4 \%$ are non-US citizens | Mean SAT scores: verbal 705, math 697 |
| $12 \%$ have indicated that English is not their | Mean ACT score: 29 |
| first language | Secondary schools represented in the class: 330 |

Students hail from 40 states, DC, and 14 countries

## Student Academic Profile

$83 \%$ finished in the top $10 \%$ of their class
(of those with reported rank)
$18 \%$ are valedictorians
(of those with reported rank)
$35 \%$ plan to pursue science or math
$23 \%$ plan to pursue humanities
26\% plan to pursue social sciences
6\% plan to pursue arts or foreign language
$30 \%$ of the class were admitted through
Early Decision
Mean SAT scores: verbal 705, math 697

Secondary schools represented in the class: 330

|  | Men | Women | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Applied | $2432(47 \%)$ | $2743(53 \%)$ | 5175 |
| Accepted | $466(48 \%)$ | $507(52 \%)$ | 973 |
| Enrolled | $225(52 \%)$ | $205(48 \%)$ | 430 |

For the Class of 2005, 317 students applied under the Early Decision option; 131 students were offered admission.

Statistics for the Past Decade
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{llll}\hline \text { Year } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Number of } \\
\text { Applicants }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Number of } \\
\text { Students } \\
\text { Accepted }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Number of } \\
\text { Students }\end{array}
$$ <br>

\& \& 1080(25 \%) \& Enrolled\end{array}\right]\)| $439(41 \%)$ |
| :--- |
| 1991 |

Secondary School Representation

|  | Public | Private | Parochial | Other |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Applied | $3197(62 \%)$ | $1593(31 \%)$ | $371(7 \%)$ | $14(\%)$ |
| Accepted | $597(61 \%)$ | $327(34 \%)$ | $46(5 \%)$ | $3(\%)$ |
| Enrolled | $256(60 \%)$ | $152(35 \%)$ | $22(5 \%)$ | 0 |

Number of
Schools Represented in the Class

212 (64\%)
99 (30\%)
19 (6\%)
0

Rank in Class

|  | Applied | Accepted | Enrolled |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Top 10th | $1890(75 \%)$ | $484(89 \%)$ | $176(83 \%)$ |
| Second 10th | $370(15 \%)$ | $41(8 \%)$ | $25(12 \%)$ |
| Third 10th | $133(5 \%)$ | $10(2 \%)$ | $5(2 \%)$ |
| Top 31-50th | $97(3 \%)$ | $8(1 \%)$ | $5(2 \%)$ |
| Total Number of |  | $544(56 \%)$ |  |
| Ranked Students | $2526(49 \%)$ | $211(49 \%)$ |  |
| Total Number of |  | $429(44 \%)$ | $219(51 \%)$ |

## Distribution of College Board SAT I Scores

| VERBAL | Applied | Accepted | Enrolled |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $750-800$ | 1092 | 404 | $139(33 \%)$ |
| $700-749$ | 1215 | 243 | $117(28 \%)$ |
| $650-699$ | 1154 | 168 | $84(20 \%)$ |
| $600-649$ | 719 | 93 | $48(11 \%)$ |
| $550-599$ | 390 | 39 | $27(6 \%)$ |
| $500-549$ | 163 | 9 | $6(1 \%)$ |
| $450-499$ | 64 | 1 | 1 |
| $200-449$ | 42 | 0 | 0 |
| Mean | 682 | 719 | 705 |
| Mid 50\% |  | $670-770$ | $660-760$ |
| MATH | Applied | 303 | Enrolled |
| $750-800$ | 888 | 304 | $109(26 \%)$ |
| $700-749$ | 1368 | 180 | $133(32 \%)$ |
| $650-699$ | 1279 | 100 | $97(23 \%)$ |
| $600-649$ | 744 | 54 | $46(11 \%)$ |
| $550-599$ | 343 | 12 | $29(7 \%)$ |
| $500-549$ | 139 | 3 | $6(1 \%)$ |
| $450-499$ | 53 | 1 | 1 |
| $200-449$ | 25 | 707 | 1 |
| Mean | 681 | $660-760$ | 697 |
| Mid $50 \%$ |  | $660-750$ |  |

Geographical Distribution of Those Matriculating

| New York | 99 | Washington | 4 | Rhode Island | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| California | 59 | Alabama | 3 | Tennessee | 1 |
| Massachusetts | 53 | Georgia | 3 | Utah | 1 |
| Connecticut | 23 | Michigan | 3 | Total US | 411 |
| New Jersey | 23 | Wisconsin | 3 | International | 19 |
| Pennsylvania | 16 | Hawaii | 2 |  |  |
| Maryland | 13 | Kentucky | 2 |  | $4 \%$ |
| Virginia | 13 | Louisiana | 2 | International Students | $4 \%$ |
| Florida | 12 | Oregon | 2 | New England | $22 \%$ |
| Minnesota | 10 | South Carolina | 2 | Middle Atlantic | $36 \%$ |
| Illinois | 9 | Indiana | 1 | Midwest | $8 \%$ |
| Maine | 9 | Kansas | 1 | South-Southeast | $10 \%$ |
| Ohio | 7 | Montana | 1 | West-Southwest | $19 \%$ |
| District of Columbia | 5 | Nebraska | 1 | Total | $100 \%$ |
| Texas | 5 | New Mexico | 1 |  |  |
| Vermont | 5 | Nevada | 1 |  |  |
| Colorado | 4 | Oklahoma | 1 |  |  |
| North Carolina | 4 | Puerto Rico, Possessions, |  |  |  |
| New Hampshire | 4 | APO | 1 |  |  |

Financial Aid

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ (Class of 2005) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ (Class of 2004) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accepted students who applied for | $617(64 \%)$ | $621(59 \%)$ |
| scholarship and grant aid |  |  |
| Accepted students who demonstrated | 408 | 413 |
| need for scholarship and grant aid |  | 408 |
| Of those, accepted students awarded | $\$ 7,693,838$ | $\$ 8,677,490$ |
| scholarship and grant aid | $\$ 21,344$ | $\$ 21,011$ |
| Total amount offered |  |  |
| Average amount offered | 191 | 211 |
| Matriculating students who received | $\$ 4,482,938$ | $\$ 4,787,424$ |
| scholarship and grant aid | $\$ 23,470$ | $\$ 22,689$ |
| Total amount | $44 \%$ |  |
| Average amount |  |  |
| Percent of class receiving scholarship |  |  |
| and grant aid |  |  |

Fall Transfer Students

|  | Men | Women | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Applied | $87(47 \%)$ | $99(53 \%)$ | 186 |
| Accepted | $2(33 \%)$ | $4(67 \%)$ | 6 |
| Enrolled | $2(40 \%)$ | $3(60 \%)$ | 5 |

Three transfer students enrolled in the Spring of 2001; five transfer students enrolled in the Fall of 2000.


