## A N ote from the D ean on the Class of 2008

Amherst has, for 58 years, presented comprehensive and detailed data on our applicant, accept and matriculant pool with the hope that secondary school guidance counselors can make reasonable inferences about individual students' places in Amherst's applicant pool. Additionally, we share that report with families, students, our alumni and the media.

We received 5,489 applications for the C lass of 2008, accepted 1,136, and matriculated 428. Women account for 47 percent of the class, fully 35 percent are students of color, and 6 percent are non-U.S. citizens. O ur students hail from 347 different secondary schools, and two were home schooled.

The academic credentials of the entering class are arresting, indeed. Because average SAT and ACT scores, rightly or wrongly, tend to be the lingua franca of academic quality, or the closest thing we have to a common standard or means of comparison, I will quickly observe that the average composite SAT score for the Class of 2008 is 1443, and the average composite ACT score is 31 . I urge you, however, to dig more deeply into the table, which exhibits the range of SAT scores for applicants, admits and matriculants. I hope those data reassure you that the admission process here is far from simplistic or crudely formulaic. In fact, I believe that the Admission Committee here truly earns its money when it is able to recognize academic potential that is not necessarily reflected in standardized testing.

To my way of thinking, what lies behind, or perhaps accounts for, such statistics is more important. W hat has struck me thus far in my five-year tenure here are the habits of mind of Amherst students: They are curious, comfortable, even exhilarated in the world of ideas, remarkably aware of the world around them, and deeply committed to the values of a diverse community. Fascinating to me also is the degree to which they are deeply interested in the admission process itself- an interest that I suspect grows out of their knowledge that the content and context of their education in a small community such as ours grows as much out of myriad interactions with fellow students as out of more formal classroom instruction.

W hile there is much to celebrate in these data, I must say that I continue to be disheartened by several trends in the profession. Because a growing number of the nation's most competitive and prestigious colleges
and universities are taking close to or more than half of their class early decision or early action, I see enormous pressure being exerted on young people to conclude the college search process prematurely, and with that pressure has come a concomitant tendency to strategize rather than search. This trend commingles with a bewildering and contradictory array of early decision or early action plans, the net effect of which is to make a complex process ever more confusing. I find the narrow and shallow institutional self-interest that is driving these trends genuinely galling. So what does Amherst do? We simply pledge to take no more than 30 percent of our class early. I am disturbed also by an increasing tendency to "leverage" financial aid or to use it as a part of a "tuition income maximization model" of greater or lesser sophistication. To see "leveraging" replacing a focus on "access" in the distribution of financial aid is certainly disheartening. Again, what is Amherst's stance? We continue to practice genuinely "need blind" admission and to meet the full need of all admitted students. In addition, for the last five years, we have eliminated the loan component of financial aid packages for low income students and significantly reduced it for middle income students. Of course, it is far easier for a school with Amherst's considerable means to take the "high road" in awarding aid, but I do believe access has been one of Amherst's core values (if not THE core value) since its founding as a college for "indigent and pious" young men.

Before introducing the admission staff here at Amherst, I would like to share a sort of parable with you and ask that you remind yourselves of its lesson as you make your way through this process. About a decade ago, while working at a different but equally competitive college, I received a telephone call from a parent whom I had counseled through a long and discouraging wait list process. She asked if I remembered her, and I did. In fact, I remembered her and her son quite well, as it had been one of those situations where I had actively advocated and rooted for her son as the Admission Committee made its way through a limited number of spaces available on our wait list. I naturally asked her how her son had done and whether he had been happy at the college he ultimately attended. She replied that he had had a wonderful experience and was now at medical school. I was, of course, not at all surprised, as it was a college all three of my own children actively investigated
and one that I had come to admire. She then got to the point of the call. She hoped that I could find time to interview her daughter. As it turned out, I was able to honor her request. She thanked me, and in words that haunt me still, concluded by saying that she did "not want to make the same mistake that she had made with her son." It took me a moment to realize the import of her words, and when I did, I realized how sad and perverse this process can become. Apparently, her son's admission experience was a "mistake" not because his college experience hadn't been both enriching and successful by any definition imaginable, but because the college he attended was insufficiently prestigious.

I share this story often when I speak with parents throughout this country and have discovered that its lesson, which seems to me to be entirely self-evident, on occasion needs to be spelled out more clearly, and I do that now. O ur children or our students are not trophies to be displayed to admiring neighbors, nor are they expensive, late model automobiles to be parked conspicuously for all to see. R ather, they are living, breathing human beings going through a perplexing process at a vulnerable time in their young lives, and I ask that you remind yourselves of that from time to time. I can assure you that I and my staff will be reminding ourselves as well.

I hope that I or a member of my staff will have the opportunity to meet you or to renew conversations this year. To that end, allow me to introduce this year's staff: Director of Admission/Senior Associate D ean Katie Fretwell; Senior Associate D irector/Associate D ean Kathy M ayberry; Associate D eans M ichael H awkins, C ate Zolkos and Demisha Lee; Assistant D ean Meg C addeau; Admission Fellows Eli Bromberg, John Quigley and Julian Michaed.

Finally, and as always, let me thank you for all past and future kindnesses. Should your travel bring you to Amherst, please do not hesitate to visit with us.


Tom Parker
Dean of Admission and Financial Aid
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## First-Year Students in the Class of 2008

## A Snapshot

| Student Background Profile | Student Academic Profile |
| :---: | :---: |
| 53\% are men; 47\% women | 87\% finished in the top 10\% of their class |
| 44\% are receiving scholarship and grant aid | (of those with reported rank) |
| $35 \%$ indicated they are students of color (149 students) | 19\% are valedictorians (of those with reported rank) |
| 16\% Asian-American (67 students) | 30\% were admitted through Early Decision |
| 5\% African-American (22 students) | M ean SAT scores: verbal 722, math 721 |
| 7\% Latino/a (32 students) | M ean ACT score: 31 |
| <1\% N ative American (2 students) | Secondary schools represented in the class: 349 |
| 6\% multi-ethnic (26 students) |  |
| 11\% are first-generation college students |  |
| 12\% are children of alumni |  |
| 6\% are non-U.S. citizens |  |
| Students hail from 40 states, D.C., and 14 countr |  |


|  | Men | Women | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Applied | $2538(46 \%)$ | $2951(54 \%)$ | 5489 |
| Accepted | $547(48 \%)$ | $589(52 \%)$ | 1136 |
| Enrolled | $227(53 \%)$ | $201(47 \%)$ | 428 |

For the Class of 2008, 336 students applied under the Early D ecision option; 127 students were enrolled.

Statistics for the Past Decade

| Year | Number of <br> Applicants | Number of <br> Students | Number of <br> Students |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Accepted | Enrolled |
| 1994 | 4823 | $976(20 \%)$ | $418(43 \%)$ |
| 1995 | 4836 | $943(19 \%)$ | $422(45 \%)$ |
| 1996 | 4682 | $922(20 \%)$ | $431(47 \%)$ |
| 1997 | 5210 | $1039(20 \%)$ | $434(42 \%)$ |
| 1998 | 4491 | $1030(23 \%)$ | $440(43 \%)$ |
| 1999 | 5194 | $997(19 \%)$ | $428(43 \%)$ |
| 2000 | 5352 | $1041(19 \%)$ | $434(42 \%)$ |
| 2001 | 5175 | $973(19 \%)$ | $430(44 \%)$ |
| 2002 | 5238 | $957(18 \%)$ | $412(43 \%)$ |
| 2003 | 5631 | $1001(18 \%)$ | $413(41 \%)$ |
| 2004 | 5489 | $\mathbf{1 1 3 6 ( 2 1 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 8 ( 3 8 \% )}$ |

Rank in Class

|  | Applied | Accepted | Enrolled |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Top 10th | $2197(77 \%)$ | $617(90 \%)$ | $200(87 \%)$ |
| Second 10th | $375(13 \%)$ | $44(6 \%)$ | $20(9 \%)$ |
| Third 10th | $156(5 \%)$ | $12(2 \%)$ | $4(2 \%)$ |
| Top 31st-50th | $102(4 \%)$ | $13(2 \%)$ | $5(2 \%)$ |
| Total N umber of |  |  |  |
| Ranked Students | $2852(52 \%)$ | $686(60 \%)$ | $229(54 \%)$ |
| Total Number of |  |  |  |
| Unranked Students | $2637(48 \%)$ | $450(40 \%)$ | $199(46 \%)$ |

Distribution of College Board SAT I Scores

| Verbal | Applied | Accepted | Enrolled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 750-800 | 1351 (31\%) | 496 (50\%) | 159 (42\%) |
| 700-749 | 1158 (26\%) | 255 (25\%) | 106 (28\%) |
| 650-699 | 880 (20\%) | 143 (14\%) | 66 (17\%) |
| 600-649 | 570 (13\%) | 85 (8\%) | 43 (11\%) |
| 550-599 | 263 (6\%) | 21 (2\%) | 9 (2\%) |
| 500-549 | 112 (3\%) | 2 (\%) | 0 (\%) |
| 450-499 | 44 (1\%) | 0 (\%) | 0 (\%) |
| 200-449 | 25 (1\%) | 0 (\%) | 0 (\%) |
| M ean | 697 | 731 | 722 |
| M id 50\% | 650-760 | 690-780 | 680-770 |
| MATH | Applied | Accepted | Enrolled |
| 750-800 | 1116 (25\%) | 454 (45\%) | 144 (38\%) |
| 700-749 | 1358 (31\%) | 283 (28\%) | 121 (32\%) |
| 650-699 | 992 (23\%) | 142 (14\%) | 70 (18\%) |
| 600-649 | 542 (12\%) | 88 (9\%) | 38 (10\%) |
| 550-599 | 247 (6\%) | 31 (3\%) | 8 (2\%) |
| 500-549 | 94 (2\%) | 3 (\%) | 1 (\%) |
| 450-499 | 40 (1\%) | 0 (\%) | 1 (\%) |
| 200-449 | 14 (\%) | 0 (\%) | 0 (\%) |
| M ean | 696 | 727 | 721 |
| M id 50\% | 650-750 | 690-780 | 680-780 |

Distribution of ACT Scores

| ACT Composite | Applied | Accepted | Enrolled |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $34-36$ | $110(15 \%)$ | $52(36 \%)$ | $9(19 \%)$ |
| $30-33$ | $337(44 \%)$ | $59(41 \%)$ | $26(55 \%)$ |
| $24-29$ | $268(35 \%)$ | $32(22 \%)$ | $11(23 \%)$ |
| $21-23$ | $31(4 \%)$ | $2(1 \%)$ | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Below 21 | $12(2 \%)$ | $0(\%)$ | $0(\%)$ |
| M ean | 30 | 32 | 31 |
| Mid 50\% | $28-32$ | $30-35$ | $30-33$ |

Secondary School Representation

|  | Public | Private | Parochial | Home School |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Applied | $3587(65 \%)$ | $1506(27 \%)$ | $375(7 \%)$ | $21(\%)$ |
| Accepted | $726(64 \%)$ | $345(30 \%)$ | $61(5 \%)$ | $4(\%)$ |
| Enrolled | $254(59 \%)$ | $143(33 \%)$ | $29(7 \%)$ | $2(\%)$ |
| Number of Schools Represented |  |  |  |  |
| in the class | $217(62 \%)$ | $103(30 \%)$ | $27(8 \%)$ | $2(\%)$ |

Geographical Distribution of Those Matriculating

| N ew York | 93 | N ew H ampshire | 5 | Idaho | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| M assachusetts | 47 | M innesota | 5 | Alaska | 2 |
| California | 37 | M ichigan | 5 | West Virginia | 1 |
| N ew Jersey | 22 | W isconsin | 4 | Oregon | 1 |
| Connecticut | 22 | Georgia | 4 | M ontana | 1 |
| Florida | 18 | South Carolina | 3 | M ississippi | 1 |
| M aryland | 17 | N orth C arolina | 3 | Iowa | 1 |
| Illinois | 17 | H awaii | 3 | D elaware | 1 |
| Pennsylvania | 12 | D.C. | 3 | Arizona | 1 |
| M aine | 9 | Vermont | 2 |  | 1 |
| Virginia | 8 | Tennessee | 2 | International Students | $7 \%$ |
| Texas | 8 | Rhode Island | 2 | N ew England | $20 \%$ |
| Colorado | 8 | N ew M exico | 2 | M iddleAtlantic | $35 \%$ |
| Washington | 6 | M issouri | 2 | M idwest | $11 \%$ |
| Ohio | 6 | Louisiana | 2 | South-Southeast | $10 \%$ |
| Indiana | 6 | Kentucky | 2 | West-Southwest | $17 \%$ |

Financial Aid

| Financial Aid |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 (Class of 2008) | 2003 (Class of 2007) |
| Accepted students who applied for scholarship and grant aid | 766 (67\%) | 638 (63\%) |
| Accepted students who demonstrated need for scholarship and grant aid | 391 | 397 |
| Of those, accepted students awarded scholarship and grant aid | 391 | 397 |
| Total amount offered | \$10,643,928 | \$9,070,300 |
| Average amount offered | \$27,222 | \$22,847 |
| M atriculating students who received scholarship and grant aid | 190 | 204 |
| Total amount | \$5,106,282 | \$4,970,700 |
| Average amount | \$26,785 | \$24,366 |
| Percent of class receiving scholarship and grant aid | 44\% | 49\% |

## Fall Transfer Students

|  | Men | Women | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Applied | $86(50 \%)$ | $87(50 \%)$ | 173 |
| Accepted | $17(52 \%)$ | $16(48 \%)$ | 33 |
| Enrolled | $10(67 \%)$ | $5(33 \%)$ | 15 |

Six transfer students enrolled in the spring of 2004; 11 transfer students enrolled in the fall of 2003.

Senior Major Distribution for the Class of 2004

| Single Majors |  | Chemistry and Russian | 1 | German and Theater \& D ance 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Studies | 3 | Chemistry and Spanish | 1 | Greek and Russian |
| Anthropology | 6 | Classics and Religion | 1 | History and Law, Juris- |
| Asian Languages \& |  | Computer Science |  | prudence \& Social Thought 1 |
| Civilizations | 6 | and Economics | 3 | History and Philosophy |
| Biology | 17 | Computer Science and |  | History and Political Science 2 |
| Black Studies | 2 | Fine Arts | 1 | H istory and Psychology |
| Chemistry | 7 | Computer Science and |  | Interdisciplinary and Law, Juris- |
| Classics | 3 | M athematics | 2 | prudence \& Social Thought 1 |
| Computer Science | 6 | Computer Science and |  |  |
| Economics | 33 | Philosophy | 1 | Social Thought 1 |
| English | 31 | Computer Science and Physics | 1 | Law, Jurisprudence \& Social |
| Fine Arts | 7 | Computer Science and |  | Thought and Political Science 3 |
| French | 3 | Political Science | 1 | Law, Jurisprudence \& Social |
| Geology | 7 | Computer Science and |  | Thought and Psychology 3 |
| German | 1 | Psychology | 1 | Law, Jurisprudence \& Social |
| H istory | 28 | Computer Science and |  | Thought and Sociology 2 |
| Interdisciplinary | 1 | Theater \& D ance | 2 | Law, Jurisprudence \& Social |
| Latin | 1 | Economics and English | 1 | Thought and Spanish 4 |
| Law, Jurisprudence \& |  | Economics and Fine Arts | 1 | $M$ athematics and M usic $\quad 1$ |
| Social Thought | 26 | Economics and French | 3 | $M$ athematics and Physics 2 |
| $M$ athematics | 4 | Economics and History | 1 | M usic and Philosophy 1 |
| M usic | 4 | Economics and Law, Juris- |  | M usic and Political Science 1 |
| N euroscience | 8 | prudence \& Social Thought | 4 | Music and Russian 1 |
| Philosophy | 8 | Economics and M athematics | 1 | Philosophy and Physics 1 |
| Physics | 3 | Economics and Philosophy | 1 | Political Science and Psychology 1 |
| Political Science | 24 | Economics and Political Science | 4 | Political Science and Spanish 4 |
| Psychology | 34 | Economics and Psychology | 2 | Psychology and Spanish 2 |
| Religion | 2 | Economics and Spanish | 1 | Religion and Spanish 1 |
| Russian | 3 | Economics and Women's \& |  | Sociology and Spanish 1 |
| Sociology | 5 | Gender Studies | 1 | Total D ouble M ajors 130 |
| Spanish | 6 | English and Fine Arts | 3 |  |
| Theater \& D ance | 1 | English and French | 2 | Triple Majors |
| Women's \& G ender Studies | 5 | English and Geology | 2 | Black Studies, Political Science |
| Total Single M ajors | 295 | English and Greek | 1 | and Spanish 1 |
|  |  | English and H istory | 1 | C hemistry, Independent |
| Double Majors |  | English and Interdisciplinary | 2 | Scholar and N euroscience 1 |
| American Studies and |  | English and M usic | 1 | English, M athematics and |
| Economics | 1 | English and Philosophy | 2 | Philosophy 1 |
| American Studies and |  | English and Psychology | 4 | Total Triple M ajors 3 |
| Spanish | 1 | English and Religion | 2 |  |
| Anthropology and English | 1 | English and Sociology | 1 | Total |
| Anthropology and French | 1 | English and Spanish | 3 | Class of 2004 Graduates 428 |
| Anthropology and Geology | 1 | English and Theater \& D ance | 2 |  |
| Anthropology and Psychology | 1 | English and Women's \& |  |  |
| Anthropology and Sociology | 1 | Gender Studies | 1 |  |
| Asian Languages \& Civilizations and Economics |  | Fine Arts and French FineArts and Political Science | 1 | Amherst College does not discriminate in its admission or employment policies and |
| Astronomy and Physics | 1 | Fine Arts and Psychology | 1 | practices on the basis of factors such as race, |
| Biology and Fine Arts | 1 | French and History | 4 | sex, sexual orientation, age, color, religion, national origin, disability, or status as a |
| Biology and Geology | 1 | French and Interdisciplinary | 1 |  |
| Biology and Physics | 1 | French and Law, Juris- |  | veteran of theVietnam War era or as a |
| Biology and Psychology | 1 | prudence \& Social Thought | 1 | disabled veteran. The College complies with federal and state legislation and |
| Black Studies and Psychology | 1 | French and Political Science | 1 | regulations regarding non-discrimination. |
| Chemistry and Fine Arts | 1 | French and Psychology | 2 | Inquiries should be addressed to the Office |
| Chemistry and M usic | 1 | German and History | 1 | of Affirmative Action, Amherst College, |
| Chemistry and N euroscience | 1 | German and Spanish | 1 | P O Box 5000, Amherst, M A 01002-5000. |

