JOC, 44. 103. 4. (ms. 8, 4, 10)

Horvard Depository

special issue

new bi-monthly series

PEABODY AUTION LISTARY HARVARD UNIVERSITY

PESENTE EN ER EN 17 1978

The 1st International Conference of Negro Writers and Artists

(Paris — Sorbonne — 19th-22nd September 1956)

FULL ACCOUNT

COMMITTEE « Présence Africaine »

MM. A. Adandé, S. O. Biobaku, A. Biyidi, A.-K. Busia, Aimé Césaire, R. Codjo, B. Dadié, René Depestre, A. Diop, B. Fele, A. Franklin, K. Paulin Joachim, J.-Ki Zerbo, Sengat-Kuo, D. Mandessi, Nicol Davidson, Nyunai, J. Rabemananjara, Ray Autra, A. Sadji, A. Wade.



MANAGEMENT AND EDITING STAFF

42, rue Descartes, PARIS (5°)
Tél.: ODEon 57-69
C.C.P. PARIS 59.36.25

New subscription rates:

U.S.A. (air mail) \$10	U.S.A. (surface mail) \$6	Overseas	England £2	



The responsibility for the views expressed in the articles published here is solely borne by their

authors.

Manuscripts are not returned.

All rights reserved.

PRESENCE AFRICAINE

CULTURAL JOURNAL OF THE NEGRO WORLD

THE NEW BIMONTHLY SERIES No. 8-9-10 JUNE-NOVEMBER 1956

CONTENTS

193	Aimé CESAIRE. — Culture and colonisation
182	Thomas EKOLLO. — The importance of culture for the assimilation of the Christian message in negro African
177	Ben ENWONWU Problems of the African artist do-day.
154	William FONTAINE. — Segregation and desegregation in the United States: a philosophical analysis
143	Emmanuel C. Paul. — Ethnology and Negro Cultures
132	Horace Mann BOND. — Reflections comparative on West African Nationalist movements
	20th SEPTEMBER at 3 p. m.
122	Frantz FANON. — Racisme and culture
107	Davidson NICOL The soft pink palms
97	E. ANDRIANTSILANIARIVO. — The Madagascan in the XXth Century
84	A. HAMPATE BA. — Fulah Culture
84	SESSION OF 20th SEPTEMBER at 10, a.m
65	DISCUSSION. — 19th SEPTEMBER at 9. p.m
51	L. S. SENGHOR. — The spirit of civilisation or the laws of African negro culture
43	E. L. LASEBIKAN. — The tonal structure of Yoruba poetry.
29	Paul HAZOUME. — The Priets' Revolt
20	Jacques RABEMANANJARA Europe and ourselves
	19th SEPTEMBER at 3. p.m.
9	Alioune DIOP. — Opening address
7	
•	P. A Modern culture and our destiny

Aime Cesaire.

ourselves, as far as we can, so that the universal cultural patrimony ceases to be for us "alms given to the poor". can culture of to-morrow will be : we cannot do better than cultivate the African Christianity of to-morrow, since it remains dependent on culture. But neither will anyone be able to guess what the Afri-

CONCLUSION

ments of appreciation. draw his own, if we have et least succeeded in furnishing a few ele-Our conclusion will be brief. Everyone can, in any event,

true spirit of the Gospel through the cultures by which it has been conveyed, before reaching us. In our view, this is the primordial condition for "building up" a Christianity which, far from hampering cœur's declaration, we think that, so far as it is a spirit, a properly understood and assimilated Christianity can do nothing but help as a Revolution. us, will rather find its place in the very heart of our revolution, all the more so, since the Gospel itself, in its origins, presented itself the blossoming of a culture. In fact, the task which faces us, the intellectual and Christian élite of Africa, consists in discovering the and of the positions we take up". change the "heart" that is the source and centre of our preferences "... as an auditor of Christian preaching, I believe that the word can In his book "History and Truth", Professor Ricœur writes: Taking note of Professor Ri-

HARBILLE SIZO

seems to us erroneous to make "Negroness" the foundation of Christianity, since authentic Christianity cannot suffer any foundation, anything else except an effort at an African formulation of Christianity, which, without falsifying it, would be able to present JESUS CHRIST at the defender of the disinherited of this world, the except its own spirit. In consequence our ultimate task cannot be SAVIOUR. Faithful to the biblical tradition as we would wish to be,

Thomas EKOLLO

To be published by Presence Africaine:

REVOLUTION OF NEGRO AFRICA, THE ROLE OF CHRISTIANITY IN THE CULTURAL

Culture and colonisation

the significance of this Congress. For the past few days we have been greatly exercised as regards

West Indies and from Madagascar. denominator of an assembly that can unite men as different as Africans of native Africa, and North Americans, as men from the More particularly, we have wondered what is the common

fly stated in the words; colonial situation, To my way of thinking the answer is obvious and may be brie-

but whose after-effects still persist down to the present day.

What does this mean? It means that in spite of our desire to system. Even an independent country like Haiti is, in fact, in many within the context of a colonialism that has certainly been abolished modern nation an artificial position that can only be understood themselves, thanks to racial discrimination, occupy within a great respects a semi-colonial country. And our American brothers It is a fact that most native countries live under the colonial

cultures are to-day developing under the peculiar influence of the at the same time posing the problem of colonialism, for all native we like it or not, we cannot pose the problem of native culture without cultures, namely, the colonial situation. In other words, whether if we are to come to grips with the situation, avoid raising the pro-blem that has the greatest influence upon the development of native colonial, semi-colonial or para-colonial situation. maintain a note of calm in the discussions of the Congress we cannot,

by some of our enemies, and even by some of our friends. should be defined in order to dissipate certain misunderstandings and reply very precisely to certain anxieties that have been expressed But what, you may ask, is culture? It is desirable that this

of negro-African culture is to speak of an abstraction. It has been said that if culture must be national, surely, to speak The legitimacy of this Congress has, for example, been questioned

to choose our terms carefully? Is it not obvious that the best way to avoid such difficulties is

we can equally well speak of a European civilisation national cultures peculiar to each of the countries mentioned above, cultural relationships, these great cultural families, have a name : number of striking similarities so that, though we can speak of all these cultures, alongside genuine differences, show a certain however, self-evident that national cultures, however differentiated they may be, are grouped by affinities. Moreover, these great German, Russian, etc., national culture, it is no less evident that that there is a French national culture, an Italian, English, Spanish, the are called civilisations. In other words, if it is an undoubted fact I think it is very true that culture must be national.

and which individually reveal the different cultures proper to each country of Africa. And we know that the hazards of history have caused the domain of this civilisation, the locus of this civilisation tures which collectively deserve the name of negro-African culture Haiti and the French Antilles and even in the United States. to exceed widely the boundaries of Africa. It is in this sense, therethis negro-African civilisation in Brazil and in the West Indies, in fore, that we may say that there are, if not centres, at least fringes of In the same way we can speak of a large family of African cul-

STATE PARTIES AT

argument; This is not just a theory invented for the purposes of the present it is one that is, in my view, implied in a sociological

scientific approach to the problem.

sufficiently numerous and sufficiently important phenomena spread over a sufficiently large number of territories". It may be interred as fleeting as fashion, the arts as well as science or religion and by values we mean, naturally, elements as diverse as technics and spiritual values created by a society in the course of its history, from the outside, one might say that it is the whole corpus of material from this that civilisation tends towards universality and culture indelibly bears the mark of that people or nation. liar to one people or nation, not shared by any other, and that it towards particularism; that culture is civilisation regarded as pecupolitical institutions, things as fundamental as language or The French sociologist Mauss defined civilisation as "a group of To describe it

revealing its dynamism, one would say that culture is the effort of any human collectivity to endow itself with the wealth of a perso-If, on the other hand, one were to define it in terms of purpose,

aspect of a civilisation. culture represents an internal irradiant cell that is the most unique kirts of culture, its most external and most general aspects, while two aspects of the same thing; civilisation defining the widest outs-This is tantamount to saying that civilisation and culture define

mon to all the social phenomena and which he defined by the term arbitrary element. "All social phenomena", he declared, "are to some areas", found them in a profound quality that was in his view com-It is known that Mauss, in his efforts to find reasons for the compartmentation of the world into clearly defined "civilisation

> constitute a single society, is necessarily finite and relatively fixed" the area over which they spread, as long as humanity does not extent the work of the collective will, and when we speak of human from this characteristic of representational collective practices that we infer a choice between different possibilities... It follows

single particular will, choosing between different possibilities. Thus, all culture is specific. Specific in that it is the work a

We see where this idea leads.

be simplified. In this respect se have the dictum of Marx himself who writes (Das Kapital, Vol. III, p. 841 et seq.) life, the life passion, the *elan vital* of any people gives rise to very different cultures. This does not mean that there is no determinism running from base to superstructure. It means that the relation between base and superstructure is never simple and should never is a feudal civilisation, a capitalist civilisation, a Socialist civilisation. But it is obvious that on the compost of the same economic pattern, To take a concrete example; it is indeed true to say that there

discovered by an analysis of the empirical circumstances concerned" same, that is, as regards the main conditions—may not by reason of factors, historical influences acting from without, etc., manifest innumerable distinct empirical conditions, e.g. natural and racial the intimate secret, the hidden foundation of the whole social strucof the means of production and the direct producers that we discover itself in an infinity of variations and graduations that may only "It is always in the immediate relations between the masters This does not mean that the same economic basis—the

thought, values, a whole intellectual equipment, a whole emotional constellation of ideational resources, traditions, beliefs, ways special that it does not pre-suppose, to breathe life into it, a whole No better way could be found to say that civilisation is never so

unity of African civilisation, which has become diversified into a whole series of cultures all of which, in varying degrees, owe somecomplex, a fund of wisdom that precisely we call culture.

This, I submit, is what legitimises our present meeting. All who have met here are united by a double solidarity; on the one in time, due to the fact that we started from an original unity, the us from without; and on the other, a vertical solidarity, a solidarity hand, a horizontal solidarity, that is, a solidarity created for us by the colonial, semi-colonial or para-colonial situation imposed upon

a return th the sources, a phenomenon characteristic of all commen fighting the same fight ans sustained by the same hope. of men who must get to grips with the same harsh reality, hence of munities in times of crisis, while, it is at the same time an assembly of view, both of them equally valid, namely, that this Congress is thing to that civilisation.

We may accordingly consider this Congress from two points

mentary and that our bearing, which may seem to indicate hesi-For my On the contrary, I believe the two aspects to be complepart, I can see no incompatability between the two

tation and embarrassment between the past and the future, is in fact only natural, seeing that it is inspired by the idea that the shortest way to the future is always one that involves a deep understanding of the past.

I now come to my main theme, namely, the concrete conditions underlying the problem of native cultures at the present day.

I have said that this concrete conditioning may be briefly expressed as the colonial, semu-colonial or para-colonial situation in which these cultures are developing.

in which these cultures are developing.

The question at once arises: What influences can such conditions have upon the development of these cultures? And first of all, can a political status have cultural consequences? This is not immediately obvious. If one believes with Frobenius that culture is born of man's emotion before the cosmos and that it is no more than "παιδευμα" then there can be little or no influence of politics upon culture.

Or again, if one holds with Schubart that the essential factor is a geographical one, if one believes that "it is the spirit of the countryside that forges the soul of a people", there can be little or no influence of politics upon culture.

If, however, one believes, as common sense dictates, that civilisation is first and foremost a social phenomenon and the result of social facts and social forces, then the idea that politics can influence culture becomes crystal clear.

This influence of politics upon culture is expressly recognised by Hegel in the Lessons from the philosophy of history when he writes this innocent little phrase which Lenin, however, must have considered less innocent than it appears as he quoted it and underlined it twice in the Philosophival Notebooks:

"The importance of nature should be neither over- nor underestimated; certainly the gentle sky of Ionia greatly contributed to the grace of the poems of Homer. Nevertheless, it cannot in isolation produce Homers. Nor does it always produce them. No bard arises under Turkish domination".

This can mean only one thing, namely, that a political and social system that suppresses the self-determination of a people thereby kills the creative power if that people.

Or, what amounts to the same thing, wherever colonialism has existed, whole peoples have been deprived of their culture, deprived of all culture.

It is in this sense that the historic meeting in Bandung may be said to have been not only a major political event; it was also a cultural event of the first magnitude in that it was the peaceful rising of peoples athirst not only for justice and human dignity but for what colonialism bad chiefly denied them, namely, justice.

The mechanism of the death of culture and of civilisations under the colonial system is beginning to be well known. In order

to flourish, a culture must have a framework, a structure. Nothing can be surer than that the elements that buttress the cultural life of a colonised people disappear or become debased as a result of the colonial system. I am referring naturally in the first place to political organisation. For it must not be forgotten that the political organisation freely evolved by a people is a significant factor in the culture of that people and, moreover, conditions that particular culture.

Furthermore, there is the question of language. Language has been called "psychology petrified". The native language, the language learnt at school, the language of ideas, once it ceases to be the official and administrative language suffers a loss of status that hinders its development and sometimes threatens its very existence.

We must fully grasp this idea. When the English destroy the state organisation of the Ashantis in the Gold Coast, they deal a blow to Ashanti culture.

When the French refuse to recognise as official languages Arabic in Algeria or Malgache in Madagascar, thus preventing them from achieving their full potentiality in the modern world, they deal a blow to Arab culture and Madagascan culture.

Limitation of the colonised civilisation, suppression or debasement of all that it rests on, how in these conditions can we feel suprised at the suppression of one of the characteristics of all live civilisations, namely the faculty of self-renewal?

It is, we know, a commonplace in Europe to disparage nationalist movements in the colonial countries by representing them as obscurantist forces priding themselves on reviving medieaval ways of life and thought. This, however, is to forget that the power to leave behind the past is one that belongs to a live civilisation, and that a civilisation is alive when the society in which it finds expression is free. What is happening at present in Africa or in free Asia is, in my view, highly significant in this respect. I shall confine myself to remarking that it is Free Tunisia that has abolished the religious tribunals, not colonial Tunisia, and that it is Free Tunisia that has nationalised Habu properties and abolished polygamy and not the Tunisia of the colonists; that it was the India of the English that maintained the traditional status of the Indian woman, but an India freed from British tutelage that gave the Indian woman equal rights with man.

Let us not delude ourselves! Limited in its action, its dynamism hampered, the civilisation of the colonised society from the first day enters the twilight that is the precursor of the end.

Spengler, in his Decline of the West, quotes these lines from Goethe:

"Thus thou must be, no man his face can change. So saith Apollo, thus the prophet spake Develop in life the from graven in thee That neither time, nor king, nor law can break".

flowering, that she did not permit them to develop and achieve the broke the upsurge of civilisations that had not yet reached full full richness of the forms graven in them. The great reproach we way justly level at Europe is that she

of this whole was accomplished. Suffice it to say that it was stric-It would be superfluous to detail the process by which the death

ken at its base. At its, base, and thus irretrievably.

remember the impressive picture painted by M. Caillois and M. Béguin, the former in a series of articles entitled "Reversed Illusions", only too true. And not only for India. Wherever European colosocieties of India, namely, small communities that break up because tend to reproach him with ingratitude and to remind him complacolonised people makes this kind of remark, European intellectuals as well as the disintegration of the family. money has led to the destruction or weakening of traditional links, msation has occurred, the introduction of an economy based on the foreign admixture disrupts their economic pattern. of a system based upon respect for human dignity, in spite of all their efforts to make us think so, or the invention of intellectual about by Europe in the history of humanity is either the introduction that is true in this picture. But neither of these gentlemen can persuade world opinion that the great revolution brought few acts of violence, that were in any case unavoidable, as compared with such a long list of benefits? There is certainly much that is true in this picture. But neither of these gentlemen can history, sociology, ethography, morals, technics, all are brought in. cently of what the world owes to Europe. the break-up of the social and economic structure of the community as well as the disintegration of the family. When a member of a caused by Europe are not irreparable. It is said that by taking certain precautions, the devastating effects of colonisation could be ture, philosophy, religions,—everything that might prevent or slow down the enrichment of a group of privileged men and peoples. I am well aware that for some time it has been claimed that the evils integrity; this revolution turned upon very different considerations that it would be disloyal not to face, namely, that Europe was the the latter in his preface to M. Pannikar's book on Asia. her sway a social and economic system founded on money and to And what importance, these writers ask, can be attached to a have mercilessly destroyed everything—I repeat, everything, culfirst to have invented and to have introduced everywhere under mitigated. We recall the pattern worked out by Marx in respect of the Unesco has been considering the problem and lately In France, one can stil Science,

ALWEITING SERVICE

vitable dislocation for constructive ends" industrial methods, new rules of hospital administration, cet., with a minimum of dislocation, or, at least, to make use of the ineduce into certain cultures, basic education, new agricultural and

never conjugated with the verds of the idyllic. One must resign oneself to the facts: the tenses of colonisation are niously and without risk of the "moral health of the colonised peoples" of the coloniser within the corpus of the native civilisations harmoand another good kind of colonisation, an enlightened colonisation civilisations and attacking the "moral health of the colonised people" might be said to be a bad king of colonisation destroying native however, resign oneself to the facts. backed by ethnography, which could integrate the cultural elements All this is certainly steeped in good intentions. One must, ever, resign oneself to the facts. This is not a case where there

run to the death of the civilisation of the conquered society. can it be said, if the native civilisation dies, that the coloniser renative kind, that is, by the conqueror's own civilisation. places it with another type of civilisation that is superior to the We have seen that all colonisation leads in the longer or shorter

Illusion, as I find among Governor Deschamps' ancestors a man who was not Governor but Palace Chamberlain, which is not indeed Gauls had not retained too unhappy memories of that colonisation. The Deschamps Illusion is, moreover, as old as Roman colonisation itself and might just as well be called the Rutilius Namatianus This illusion, to parody a fashionable expression, I propose to call the Deschamps Illusion, after Governor Deschamps who, at sation, a pre-capitalist colonisation with a capitalist colonisation. Nor does this absolve us from wondering incidentally whether the tions; it, for example, one can compare, on the grounds of colonicomparison raises certain problems. One may in particular wonder if the comparison is valid for such widely differing historical situathat may be, let us héar what Rutilius Namatianus has to say: Deschamps yesterday morning in French prose. without some analogy, who in the 5th century A.D. th copening of this Congress yesterday morning, pathetically recalled that Gaul had once been colonised by the Romans, adding that the ties a man to pass impartial jugdment on colonialism. position of Governor, or Palace Chamberlain, is one that best quali-Latin verse a thought rather similar to that expressed by Monsieur Naturally such expressed in However

"Fecisti patriam diversis gentibus unam; Urbem fecisti quod orbis erat". Dumque offers victis proprii consortia juris Profuit injustis te dominante capi

by the modern colonial system; never has one hym of gratitude re-We may note in passing that no poet has ever yet been inspired

it should be possible by taking the necessary precautions "to intro-

ment of a culture brings in its train changes in other respects"

rent whole" and that "the slightest modification of any single elethat if we bear in mind that "every culture forms a logical and cohebe introduced into a culture in such a way as to harmonise with

While a well know ethnographer, Dr. Margaret Mead, declared

General, stated that "in certain conditions technical progress could

Unesso Courrier, February 1956), Dr. Luther Evans, the Director

nish civilisations as a result of colonisation. But this, I repeat, is occur throughout the world off-shoots of French, English or Spaa sufficient condemnation of the colonial system... a Latin culture was substituted for a native culture, so there will Let us come to the heart of the illusion, namely, that just as in Gaul sounded in the ears of modern colonialists. And that in itself is But no matter.

nising country can give its civilisation to any colonised country, that there is not, there has never been and there never will be scattered throughout the world, as was thought in the early days of colonisation, a "New France", a "New England, or a "New Spain". tions, and functions of organisation and coordination. social functions. This is worth emphasising: a civilisation is a co-ordinated group of social functions. There are technical functions, intellectual funcwe must be quite convinced of the opposite, namely, that no coloa mission to spread "civilisation"—by which he meant French civitheir cultural relativism and to insist that the idea that France had at a meeting of philosophers and historians to define the word ourselves to recalling that in 1930, when a politician like Monsieur lisation—to her colonies must not be upset. An illusion, I say, for civilisation, it was to point out to them the political dangers of Doumer interrupted the historian Berr or the ethnographer Mauss unconscious or disinterested. In this respect we shall confine Moreover, the spread of this misunderstanding is not always

nation ensures to the colonised, that is to the natives in their own civilisation could mean only one thing, namely, that the colonising To say that the coloniser substitutes his civilisation for the native

country, the fullest mastery over these different functions.

and is directly exercised by the governor or resident-general, or is ordination, the political power belongs to the colonial authorities That in all colonies, as regards the functions of organisation and cocharacteristic is not illiteracy and the low level of public education. intellectual functions there is no colonial country of which the main education in all colonial countries is the attempt by the colonists alongside the native society without the colonised ever being given at least controlled by him. in South Africa is, in this respect, highly significant.) That as regards the attempt that finds its most odious and most radical expression to bar the way to technical qualifications for native workmen; the chance to master them. (The great misfortune of technical this respect? That techniques in colonial countries always develop What, however, does the history of colonisation teach us in

clearly recognised by the native peoples for the snares and boobycolonial policies based upon integration or assimilation-(This, incidentally, explains the vanity and hypocrisy of all

traps they are.

by saying that, for the coloniser, exporting his civilisation to the colonial country would mean nothing less than a deliberate attempt You see the extent of the requirements. I shall sum them up

> of and also as a competitor of metropolitan capitalism. to establish native capitalism, a native capitalist society in the image

of colonial capitalism. metropolitan capitalism given birth to native capitalism. Moreover if a native capitalism has not arisen in any colonial country (I do sought in the laziness of the natives but in the very nature and logic connected with metropolitan capitalism), the reasons must not be not mean the capitalism of the colonists themselves that is directly One has only to glance at the facts to realize that nowhere has

once had the merit of drawing attention to the phenomenon that he called the "selective gift". Malinowski, who is certainly open to criticism from other angles,

four following elements of our culture: to be a specialist in anthropology to see that the "European gift" is always highly selective. We never give, and we never shall give from which all blessings flow freely is fallacious. There is no need madness from the point of view of political realism to do so—the native people living under our domination—as it would be complete "The whole conception of European culture as a cornucopia

1. — The instruments of physical power—firearms, bombers, etc.

or anything that makes defence effective or aggression possible.
2. — Our instruments of political mastery. Sovereignty al remains the prerogative of the "Bristih Crown", or the "Become" or the French Republic. Even when we practice inc rule such rule is always exercised under our control Even when we practice indirect Sovereignty always ', or the "Belgian

nels, apart from wages that are in any case always inadequate. Even under a system of indirect economic exploitation such as we 3. — We do not share the main part of our wealth and our economic advantages with the natives. The metal that comes from of the profit to the natives, the entire control of economic organisathe African gold and copper mines never flows along African chantion always remains in the hands of the western enterprise. practice in Western Africa or in Uganda when we leave a proportion

der all the points just mentioned, it is easy to see that there is no question of "giving", nor of offering "generously", but rather of "taking". We have taken from the Africans their lands and, the work they do is never voluntary except in name" least never entirely, the administration of these funds. Finally, generally speaking, it is the most fertile lands we have taken. We have bereft tribes of their sovereignty and of the right to make war. We oblige the natives to pay taxes but they do not control, or at Nowhere is full political equality granted. Nor even full religious equality. Africans their lands and, lands we have taken. We In fact, when we consi-Nor full social

(Introductory essay on the anthropology of changing African cultures,

The dynamics of culture:
"It is the selective gift which, of all the elements of the colonial Several years later Malinowski drew the following conclusions in

situation, has perhaps the greatest influence on the process of cul-

cultural change so difficult and so complicated".

As we see, there is no complicated. of all the economic, political and juridical benefits of the superior nothing less tham a withdrawal from the process of cultural contact significant and clearly determined. tural exchange. What the Europeans refrain from giving is both the natives the cultural change-over would be relatively easy. If power, wealth, and social advantages were given to It is a refusal which tends to

being offered to others, there can be no question of a transfer of civilisation. Toynbee in The World and the West propounds a the total diffusion of one culture in another, causing a kind of purely is, moreover, the resistance of the foreign social body that impedes by decomposing it in the same way as the prism decomposes light rays to produce the colours of the spectrum". He holds that it most ingenious theory of the psychology of the impact of civilisaits entirely, hence if there is never any question of a civilisation harmful elements are retained. body "the resistance of the foreign body refracts the cultural ray physical selection by which only the least important and most As we see, there is never any question of the gift being offered in He explains that when the ray of civilisation strikes a foreign

wrong. The selection of cultural elements offered to the coloniscults not the result of a physical law. It is the result of a political itself, by which I mean its foundations, its virtues and its power. a policy that may be summed up as the import-export of capitalism decision, the result of a policy deliberately chosen by the colonist, The truth is very different; Malinowski is right and Toynbee The selection of cultural elements offered to the colonised

that would elaborate a new civilisation owing full allegiance elaboration of a new civilisation, a civilisation that will owe something neither of its component parts? colonists' civilisation, might it not be possible to conceive of a process native civilisation and, on the other, by the export overseas of the solutions represented, on the one hand, by the preservation of the both to Europe and to the native civilisation. But, it may be said, there is still another possibility, namely, the If we discard the two

they are witnessing in countries of British or French colonisation the civilisation. birth of an Anglo- or Franco-African or an Anglo- or Franco-Asiatic This is an illusion cherished by many Europeans who imagine

sation and that from this marriage will spring a new civilisation, a have been brought into contact through colonisation, the native civilisation will borrow cultural elements from the colonists' civililive by borrowing, and infer that when two different civilisations mixed civilisation. In support of it they rely on the notion that all civilisations

The error inherent in such a theory is that it reposes on the

and that all borrowings are equally good. illusion that colonisation is a contact with civilisation like any other

The truth is quite otherwise and the borrowing is only valid

as to strip it of its separate identity, transform it into a means and by which the individual takes possession of the object in such a way of the object, the mechanical process becomes an interior process Hegel's view aopplies here. When a society borrows, it takes possession. It acts, it does not suffer action. "In taking possession session. It acts, that both become one-what was external becoming internal for it and integrates it within the body which then assimilates it so when it is counter-balanced by an interior state of mind that calls impart to it the substance of his own personality". (Hegel, Logic Vol. II, p. 482).

Colonisation is a different case. Here there is no borrowing arising out of need, no cultural elements being spontaneously integrated within the subject's world. And Malinowski and his school ded mainly as a continuous process of interaction between groups are right to insist that the process of cultural contact must be regarhaving different cultures.

resort the determining element? the colonist and the colonised in opposing camps, is in the last What does this mean if not that the colonial situation, that sets

And what is the result?

The result of this lack of integration by the dialectic of need is the existence in all colonial countries of what can only be termed a cultural mosaic. By this I mean that in all colonial countries the cultural teatures are juxtaposed but not harmonised

mean that people who are biologically of mixed blood cannot found of cultural features that there cannot be a mixed culture. I do not a civilisation. I mean that the lisation only if it is not mixed. mixture of all styles" culture, namely, barbarism, which implies a lack of style or a chaotic much are neither an essential step towards culture nor a sign of culture and could indeed go hand in hand with the opposite of tations of a people. To know many things and to have learnt "Culture is above all a unity of artistic style in all the vital manifesthe characteristics of culture is its style, that mark peculiar to a people and a period and which is to be found in all fields in which gration? that Nietzsche's remarks in this respect are worth considering; the activity of a people is manifested at a given period. What, however, is civilisation if not a harmony and an inte-ion? It is because culture is not just a simple juxtaposition I mean that the civilisation they found will be a civi-It is for this reason too that one of I feel

destroyed and has been replaced by a heterogeneous mixture of features taken from different cultures, jostling one another but note that the harmonious synthesis of the old native culture has been common to all colonised countries. No truer description could be given of the cultural situation nmon to all colonised countries. In every colonised country we

not harmonising. of culture. It is barbarism through cultural anarchy. This is not necessarily barbarism through lack

has become the interpreter (Anthropology, New York, 1948) elements. We recall the case the case of Greek culture, consisting of Greek elements to which were added Cretan, Egyptian and Asiatic elements. We may even go further and state that in the realm A further objection is that any culture, no matter how great, or rather the greater it is, is a mixture of extremely heterogeneous of culture the composite is the rule and the uniform the harlequin's is room only for a debased style and, in the last resort, for sterility, values that are not recognised by the community as a whole, there does not live intensely or develop except in the presence of a system of common values. be to forget that the great creative periods have always been periods great psychological unity, periods of communion, and that culture You may be startled by the word barbarism. This is a view of which the American anthropologist Kroeber forms splinter groups and is criss-crossed by a medley of Where, on the other hand, society is in disso-But this would

tually takes place". in the realm of culture it is a very close approximation to what acand prosper. Organically, this is obviously an impossibility, but animal kingdom, and could not only survive but reproduce itself ment of foreign organs borrowed from other representatives of the and teeth of a cat, a few tentacles of an octopus, a further assortdigestive organs of a sheep, the respiratory gills of a fish, the claws "It is", he writes, , "as though a rabbit could be grafted with the

side the native but over which the native has no power. precisely the operation of this dialectic that is denied to the colonised. to my uses; because they are at my disposal, not I at theirs. can organise them within my universe, because I can bend them of having, has taken place. Foreign elements have become mine nevertheless the community feels that the word or the technique is people. Foreign elements are dumped on its soil, but remain foreign. White man's things! White man's manners! Things existing alonghave passed into my being because I can dispose of them, because Scientists may prove the foreign origin of a word or a technique, civilisation does not feel the foreign body, for it is no longer foreign. as theirs in the same way as the most typically native elements. heterogeneous are lived in the consciousness of the community civilisation this heterogeneity is lived internally as homogeneity. Analysis may reveal the heterogeneity, but the elements however however beware; this heterogeneity is not lived as such. It is no doubt true that the rule here is heterogeneity. A process of naturalisation, ascribable to the dialectic We must, In a live

possible that the colonised people can reconstitute it and integrate But, it may be asked, once the original unity is broken, is it not

> a new unity, a unity that will not, of course, be the old unity, but a its new experiences, hence its new wealth, with the framework of unity nevertheless?

commingling, cannot be expected from a people unless that people sible under the colonial system because such a mingling, such a retains the historic initiative, in other terms, unless that people is free. Which is incompatible with colonialism. Agreed. But is must be realized that such a solution is impos-

Referring back to the previous statement on the dialectic of need, Japan has been able te commingle the traditional elements with those borrowed from Europe and melt them down into a new right from the start, in one thousand and one ways to take away should, moreover, be added that such a commingling postulates a culture that nevertheless remains Japanese. Japan, psychological condition, namely, historic boldness, self-confidence. This however, is precisely what the coloniser has endeavoured, is free and acknowledges no law but that of her own needs. from the colonised. however,

a matter of chance. rity complex that they are pleased to find in the colonised is not just And here it must be clearly understood that the famous inferio-It has been deliberately created by the coloniser.

tate and ravage towns so that men build their dwellings on volcanic soil with misgivings, so life itself collapses, grows weaker, loses courage when then overthrow of his beliefs robs man of the basis of his security, his peace of mind, his faith in what is enduring and eternal" regarding the concepts on which the colonised could build or rebuild their world. To quote Nietzsche: "Just as earthquakes devas-Colonisation is a phenomenon that, among other disastrous psychological consequences, involves the following: it raises doubts

is a phenomenon often remarked among colonial peoples, the best-known case being that of the people of Tahiti, analysed by Victor Segalen in "Les Immémoriaux". This lack of courage to live, this vacillation of the will to live,

of life-giving contact with the masses and with popular culture, mall group, an "élite", living in artificial conditions and deprived ginal as regards the European culture and to be the province of a rever colonisation occurs, native culture begins to wither. And among the ruins there springs up, not a culture, but a kind of subculture, a sub-culture that, because it is condemned to remain mar-Thus the cultural position in colonial countries is tragic.

lands or, what amounts to the same thing, of cultural perversion or cultural by-products. is thus prevented from blossoming into a true culture.

The result is the creation of vast stretches of cultural waste-

the courage to face squarely. This is the situation which we black men of culture must have

what can we, do? Clearly our responsibilities are grave. What can The question then arises: in such a situation, what ought we

barricade ourselves against European civilisation and reject it. In other terms, we are called upon to choose: "Choose betwe do? The problem is often summarised as a choice to be made to reject native civilisation as puerile, inadequate, outdated by A choice between native tradition and European civilisation. Either history, or else, in order to preserve our native cultural heritage, to

ween fidelity and backwardness, or progress and renunciation"

What is our reply?

rather if these alternatives are offered, life itself will transcend them. thought) does not recognise, does not accept these alternatives. the choice offered is not a valid one. Life (I say life and not abstract Our reply is that things are not as simple as they seem and that

old and new, that it is always precarious, that is it in a constant state of readjustment and that it has in practice to be rediscovered by every generation. that in every society there is always a state of equilibrium between We say that the question does not arise in native society alone,

Our societies, our civilisations, our native cultures are not

exempt from this law.

be solemnly broken and the ancestral gods repudiated. On the appointed day, at a sign from the king, the high priest hurled himself upon the statues of the god, trampled them underfoot and broke them, while a great cry went up: "The taboo is broken!" Naturally, some years later the people of Hawai welcomed the Chrisdescribed what one of them proposes to call cultural fatigue. we also believe that many traditional elements will persist in these elements, elements, let us face it, borrowed from Europe. culture yet to be born, there will be many new elements, modern past and its culture by a people, is this what is expected of us? agreed between the new king and the high priest that a great festival should be organised and that during the festival the taboo should the young prince decided to abolish the ancestral religion. example they quote deserves to be recalled as it is profoundly symbrutally reject the former African culture. To illustrate what that in the African culture yet to be born, or in the para-African the enslavement of a people. And I ask, is this renunciation of its example we know of a cultural subversion preparing the way for tian missionaries with open arms. The rest of the story is well known, it has passed into history. This is the simplest and clearest follows: A few years after the discovery of these islands by Captain I refuse to believe that the future African culture can totally and cultures. We refuse to yield to the temptation of the tabula rasa. Prince Kamehamela II. James Cook, the king died and was succeeded by a young man, have just said, let me use a parable. Anthropologists have often I believe that the civilisation that has given negro sculpture For our part, and as regards ou particular societies, we believe I say distinctly, there will be no Kamehamela II among us The story, which takes place in the Hawaiian Islands, is as On being converted to European The rest of the story is well It was ideas

> print of the spirit of solidarity; that this civilisation that, on another plane, has given to the moral world an original philosophy based on respect for life and integration within the cosmos; I refuse to believe that this civilisation, imperfect though it may be, must be annihilated or denied as a pre-condition of the renaissance of the and social world the original communal institutions such as village democracy, or fraternal age-groups, or family property, which native peoples. is a negation of capitalism, or so many institutions bearing the imto the world of art; that the civilisation that has given to the political

valid and original solutions, that will be valid because they are original. conditions of the modern world and that they will prove able to vitality, enough regenerative powers to adapt themselves to the our particular cultures contain within them enough strengh, enougth provide for all political, social, economic or cultural problems, I believe that, once the external obstacles have been overcome,

We may, however, affirm here and now that it will be given and not verbally but by facts and by action. any doubt both old and new Which new elements? Which old? individual to reply. Only the community can give the answer. Here alone our ignorance begins. And in truth it is not for the In the culture that is yet to be born, there will be without

And this is what finally enables us to define our role as blak men of culture. Our role is not to prepare a priori the plan of finally defined the plan of finally defined to the plan of the plan that impedes them and renders them sterile. ckles, our peoples with their creative genius finally freed from all hold the answer-the people, our peoples, freed from their shais to proclaim the coming and prepare the may for those who future native culture, to predict which elements will be integrated and which rejected. Our role, an infinitely more humble one,

will be a reconciliation and an overstepping of both old and new". We are here to ask, nay to demand: "Let the peoples speak! Let a synthesis that will deserve the name of culture, a synthesis that the demiurge that alone can organise this chaos into a new synthesis, blak peoples take their place upon the great stage of history!" To-day we are in a cultural chaos. Our part is to say: "Free

Aimé CESAIRE