

11:00am-11:30am

Minutes for Budgetary Committee Club Budget Appeals Meeting 12/03/2017

In Attendance:

Jacob Silverman (Treasurer)

Cornell Brooks

Natalia Khoudian

Jordan Edwards

William Zaubler (late)

Ioannis Kalogiannis (late)

Olivia Mastrangelo (late)

Isiaha Price(late)

Apologies:

Sade Green

Nehemiah McGowan

Requests

1. Korean Students Association

- Rep: for movie night we would watch a cultural movie
- Rep: study breaks, monthly, are our discussion meetings so discussion food for that
- Jacob explains food policy, one discussion food per semester, already allocated for Running Man
 - Rep: Running Man event is bigger and could we get food separately?
 - Jordan E: you already have integral food
 - Olivia: because they designated one event as hallmark event, they can't get anything else?
- J explains all the food policy, one for each guideline
 - J: utensils are per event though
- J: what we've explained already, intro, discussion, integral, and hallmark are taken
- J: we can zero Running Man and move the allocation over to a different event
 - Rep: no, Running Man is more important
- J: if you don't end up spending all the food money you can reallocate
 - cultural food discussion is not revisited
- Rep: reallocate \$25 to intro meeting and \$25 to Running Man
- J: BC you can also vote on adding publicity because we also zeroed that
- Natalia: **motion to recommend as is (6, 0, 0), approved**

2. Adventist Christians Together

- ACT and its BC representative did not propose a budget during club budget meeting, so club rep planned to pitch it during this meeting
- O: we just need to reformat events, so itemize things
 - Rep: oh ok
- J: adds budget to the list
 - N: so are we accepting budget during appeals?

- Ioannis: I think we can
 - N's problem is that we weren't able to consider this during club budget meetings, meaning that it wasn't considered as part of the total club budget cost under the AAS's budget
 - Will: also, precedent would be set to allow club budget propositions during appeals meetings
- seems that at least a few BC members are against having ACT's budget set during this meeting
- J: best case scenario is to come back during discretionary for specific events, seems that your proposed budget isn't too large
 - J: next semester
 - N explains that this isn't a personal thing
 - I: it's fun to come to our discretionary meetings!

3. Amherst Mixed Martial Arts Organization (AMMO)

- Rep: specifically about the food for our promotion event
 - we don't get to eat until after the belt ceremony so this is food after a food
 - N: is it for anyone or just the promoted members
 - Rep: for anyone participating in the club, including those who get promoted
 - N: we just didn't think food was integral to the event
 - Rep: it would be a bigger meal, not just snacks, because we'll have been working out the whole day
 - BC members agree food is integral
- W: **motion to recommend as is (6, 1, 0), approved**
- J: you can reallocate food within the semester, shows rep how to do so
- I: (I have a black belt)
 - Woah woah woah what

Discussion

1. Coaches (specifically for Men's Club Soccer)

- I: what if club soccer says that we don't have the right to judge people they hire
 - N: I would say we do
 - N: we're effectively zeroing Stu, not a coach in general
- O: who was their coach before Stu graduated?
 - J: didn't really have a coach/official team before Stu apparently

2. RSO

- N: will Intersections ever be an RSO?
 - N: Intersections is exclusionary right?
 - J: interesting question, what she's asking for is conference money though, which is a particular event that they could hold for the entire student body
 - N: but they also need cross semester funding
 - J: could potentially get funding from Student Activities
- O: if it's just the members of the club, then that's not within policy

- O: also tickets and hotel cost more
- N: also issue of inter-semester funding
 - J: I think there might be an accounting issue because student activities fees are mostly funding by semester
 - N: but if we make that a policy for both semesters then it should balance out
- J: I think we could also talk about the possibility of requiring dues again
 - N: yeah
- J: I'll tell them to come back on Monday
- I: with regards to the cross-semester funding, if they get money they spend it now, and they are technically spending it now so it's not outside of policy
- O: I think you should check legal stuff too
 - J: well it's not technically legal stuff, just easier for our accountant to deal with
- N: should we make a small policy about funding across semesters up to discretion of the budgetary committee?